



Forest Enhancement
Society of British Columbia

Fuel Management Prescription Borland Valley (WUI Treatment Units, TUs-A, B, C, and D)



Prepared by:

Bruce Blackwell, RPF, RPBio;
bblackwell@bablackwell.com
Stefana Dranga, FIT;
s_dranga@bablackwell.com; and
Nicholas Soverel, RPF;
n_soverel@bablackwell.com;

Deliver or Mail to:

Kerri Howse, Stewardship Officer and Bev Atkins, Fuel Management Specialist
Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations
Cariboo-Chilcotin Natural Resource District
200-640 Borland Street
Williams Lake, BC, V2G 4T1
Phone: 250-398-4574 | Fax: 250-398-4836

Location: This treatment area is located on Provincial Crown Lands within and adjacent to the Community of 150 Mile House along Pigeon Road to the north and intersecting Redeau Lake Road to the southwest. The northern section of this block includes utility infrastructure, while the southern part is bordered by Borland Creek. The treatment area in its entirety is intended to function as a shaded fuel break to protect adjacent residential properties by reducing fire risk in the immediate interface. The treatment units under prescription will serve as a demonstration area for fuel reduction and integrated resource management.

Mapsheet:
093A011

Timber Mark: N/A

Comments: This project is consistent with the Land Manager's priorities for the Cariboo Region and has the support of the Regional Management Team (RMT), BC Wildfire Service and the Cariboo Operational Planning Team (COPT) which consists of representation from the Cariboo FLNRO regional and district staff and the BC Wildfire Service. The project is also consistent with the Cariboo Landscape Fire Management Plan, the Williams Lake Interface Fire Plan (CWPP), the Cariboo-Chilcotin Land Use Plan (CCLUP) and the mule deer winter range General Wildlife Measures.
Land ownership: Crown Land.

Treatment Rationale:

The area under prescription is located in the dry belt interior Douglas-fir region and is comprised of primarily young to mature Douglas-fir stands. The stand age varies from approximately 100-150 years. Ingrowth and high stand density have created contiguous ladder and crown fuels. In some areas, pine and fir beetle related mortality has resulted in heavy surface fuel accumulations. The fuel types encountered (primarily C3 and C4) will support extreme fire behaviour under high and extreme fire danger. The proposed treatment will reduce fire risk to neighbouring residential properties, while demonstrating the principles of a shaded fuel break to adjacent land owners. This Fuel Management Prescription (FMP) provides the prescriptive guidelines as well as the recommended treatment activities to implement a proactive wildfire hazard reduction project. The treatment areas prescribed herein are located in the Borland Valley Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)¹, and the project was made possible through the Forest Enhancement Society of British Columbia (FESBC) funding program. The FESBC is a BC government organization that serves three primary objectives: 1) to enhance environmental and resource stewardship of forests in BC through investment in prevention and mitigation of wildfires, improvement of damaged forests and wildlife habitat, and encouraging efficient fibre use; 2) to advocate for environmental and resource stewardship within BC; and 3) to represent the public and societal interests.

The Borland Valley WUI prescription is intended to limit fire behaviour potential and provide suppression crews with an improved opportunity to protect values at risk such as residences and/or infrastructure in the WUI in the event of an approaching wildfire from the south or west. The prescription within treatment units (TUs) A, B, C, and D calls for a reduction in surface fuel loading, ladder fuels and vertical and horizontal fuel continuity, creating a shaded fuel break. Fuel management within these treatment units will function as an anchor for back burning and to reduce aggressive fire behaviour to an extent that will limit crown fire behaviour and promote improved fire-retardant efficacies and ability of firefighting crews to apply direct attack at the fire front.

The proposed treatment units also demonstrate various forest management options for management of mule deer winter habitat, as guided by the General Wildlife Measures (GWM) established under the Provincial Government Actions Regulation (GAR) of the Forest and Range Practices Act (FRPA). This prescription area is located within the Jones Creek (dwl 49) Mule Deer Winter Range. Forest management for Mule Deer Winter Range in the Cariboo-Chilcotin area is guided by stand-level objectives that specify levels of Fd basal area retention in

¹As defined by the Union of BC Municipalities (UBCM) Strategic Wildfire Prevention Initiative (SWPI). Under this program, the WUI is the area where homes are built into or adjacent to hazardous forest fuel types, or within 2km spotting distance of a wildfire.

tree diameter classes >12.5 cm dbh and >37.5 cm dbh. In addition, management for Mule Deer Winter Range in the Cariboo-Chilcotin requires the application of the residual basal area, diameter limit, and diminution quotient (BDq) approach for stand structure regulation to ensure long-term uneven-age stand structure goals are attained. This prescription utilizes the BDq method in order to set the residual stand stocking goals and employ clumpy/gappy retention throughout the proposed TUs. The thin-from-below (GWM 9) and clumpy single tree selection (GWM 10) approach recommended in this prescription is designed to not only reduce fuel loads and fire behaviour, but also to concentrate future growth within the TUs on larger and more vigorous stems, providing cover and forage for mule deer.”

Fuel Management Objectives

- Creation of a WUI fuel break;
- Increase public and firefighter safety in the Borland Valley WUI;
- Enhance the ability of the 150 Mile Volunteer Fire Department and Wildfire Management Branch to protect both public and private property at risk;
- Improve natural barriers that reduce the continuity of fuel loads, fire behaviour and wildfire risk;
- Demonstrate the principles and practices of vegetation and fuels management to community members and the public;
- Reduce surface fire behaviour potential (to below 4500 Kw/M, or Rank II) and the potential for crown fires and ember showers; and
- Minimize negative impacts to, and where possible enhance, the many values of the treatment area, including public safety, recreation opportunities, wildlife habitat, and biodiversity.

Fuel Management Strategies

- Maximize retention of dominant and co-dominant canopy trees to maintain a cool and moist understory microclimate;
- Thin from below (i.e. remove suppressed and many intermediate trees first) to reduce the risk of extreme crown fire behaviour associated with high crown bulk density and fire laddering through the crowns;
- Prune retained trees to a height of 3.5m in order to reduce ladder fuels;
- Reduce fine surface fuels and flammable understory vegetation to reduce the risk and behaviour of surface fire;
- Retain and encourage deciduous tree species and shrubs to reduce fire behaviour and provide wildlife habitat;
- Retain minimum targets of coarse woody debris to contribute to healthy forest ecosystems and biodiversity;
- Protect existing trail networks and ensure post-treatment trail conditions meet or exceed pre-treatment conditions;
- Engage in community consultation and communication, as appropriate, before and throughout the treatment implementation; and
- Improve safety for suppression crews in the area in the case of wildfire;

Treatment Longevity:

This prescription is expected to achieve the outlined fuel management objectives for approximately to 15-20 years after implementation. After this period, it is expected that understorey regeneration may create ladder fuels that are likely to incrementally increase the TU's potential to support a crown fire. Natural overstorey mortality will lead to an increase in coarse woody debris and surface fuel accumulations over this time frame. Maintenance required at a future time would likely involve understorey thinning; brushing and removal of flammable vegetation; and surface fuel disposal.

FUELS ASSESSMENT			
TU	BEC Zone, Variant, S. Series	CFFDRS Fuel Type	Comments
A	IDF dk3 01	C3/S1	TU A is a mix of C3, S1 and interspersed M2 fuel types. The TU boundary encapsulates the water (Borland Creek) and communications infrastructure. The stand is comprised of moderately dense Douglas-fir stems, intermixed with spruce and pockets of heavy coarse woody debris caused by a previous infestation of Mountain Pine Beetle. This polygon rates as high (127 pts) for wildfire behaviour threat class, and high (32 pts) for WUI threat score*.
B	IDF dk3 01	C3/C7	This TU is a mix of C3 and C7 fuel types typical of second growth Fir dry belt stands. The stand is comprised primarily of moderately to highly dense Douglas-fir stems, with pockets of heavy coarse woody debris accrued during the previous Mountain Pine Beetle infestation. The southern portion of this TU borders the Borland Creek Canyon, which represents a significant topographic feature. This polygon rates as high (135 pts) for wildfire behaviour threat class, and high (32 pts) for WUI threat score.

FUELS ASSESSMENT			
C	IDF dk3 01/IDF xm 01	C7/C3	This TU is a mix of C3 and C7 fuel types typical of second growth Fir dry belt stands. The stand is comprised primarily of moderately to highly dense Douglas-fir stems, with low coarse woody debris levels. The southern portion of this TU borders the Borland Creek Canyon, which represents a significant topographic feature. This polygon rates as high (135 pts) for wildfire behaviour threat class, and high (32 pts) for WUI threat score.
D	IDF dk3 01/IDF xm 01	C7/C3	This TU is characterized primarily by a mix of C7 and C3 fuel types. A baseball diamond is located north of Redeau Lake Road within the TU, while the southeastern most area of the TU overlaps with a lakeshore management area associated with Redeau Lake. This polygon rates as high (114 pts) for wildfire behaviour threat class, and extreme (43 pts) for WUI threat score.

TREATMENT UNIT SUMMARY					
TU	TU Name	Gross Treatment Area (ha)	Riparian Reserve Zone (ha)	Net Treatment Area (ha)	Treatment Type
A	WUI-A	10.6	10.4	10.6	HTR, TFB, B, SFR, P, PB/C
B	WUI-B	18.4	8.7	18.4	HTR, CT, TFB, B, SFR, P, PB/C
C	WUI-C	29.7	7.2	29.7	HTR, CT, TFB, B, SFR, P, PB/C
D	WUI-D	19.2	0	19.2	HTR, CT, TFB, B, SFR, P, PB/C, STS
TOTAL NET AREA				77.9	

HTR= Hazard tree removal, **CT=**Commercial Thin, **TFB=**Thin from below, **SFR=** Surface Fuel Removal, **B=** Brush Flammable shrubs, **P=** Prune, **PB=** Pile Burn, **C=** Chip, **STS** = Single Tree Selection

Field Marking Convention: **Block boundary** – orange and black striped; **Block boundary corners** – double orange and black striped and single white ribbon with aluminum tag stating treatment area name, station number, and date; **Treatment-unit demarcation**—yellow ribbon, no writing; **Riparian Management Zone-** Blue Ribbon.

CURRENT STAND CONDITION	
Statement of Limitations: Stand descriptions and pre-harvest stand structure numbers are estimates only and should not be relied upon by contractors for estimating budgets used in bidding or tender preparation. Contractors are responsible for conducting site visits to gather sufficient information for tender preparation.	

Block: TU A									
LAYER	Definition	Species Composition by Basal Area (L1) by density (L2-L4)	Stems per hectare	Basal area (m²/ha)	DBHq (cm)	Height q (m)	Volume (m³/ha)	Crown Closure (% Cover)	Height to Live Crown (m)
L1 Merch	>17.5cm DBH	Sx60Fd40	250	23	40	28	196	11%	2.8-6.8m
L1 Submerch	12.5 - 17.5 cm DBH	Sx100	33	1			2		
L2	7.5 - 12.5 cm DBH	Fd80Sx20	300						
L3	<7.5 cm DBH, >1.3m height	Fd50Sx50	1500						
L4	<1.3m height	Sx65Fd35	367						
		TOTAL SPH	2450						

Block: TU B											
LAYER	Definition	Species Composition by Basal Area (L1) by density (L2-L4)	Stems per hectare	Basal area (m²/ha)	DBHq (cm)	Height q (m)	Volume (m³/ha)	Crown Closure (% Cover)	Height to Live Crown (m)		
L1 Merch	>17.5cm DBH	Fd90Sx5PI5	714	42	32	23	281	32%	1.9-6.9m		
L1 Submerch	12.5 - 17.5 cm DBH	Fd100	260	4			15				
L2	7.5 - 12.5 cm DBH	Fd100	320								
L3	<7.5 cm DBH, >1.3m height	Fd100	900								
L4	<1.3m height	Fd100	320								
		TOTAL SPH	2514								

Block: TU C									
LAYER		Species Composition by Basal Area (L1) by density (L2-L4)	Stems per hectare	Basal area (m²/ha)	DBHq (cm)	Height q (m)	Volume (m³/ha)	Crown Closure (% Cover)	Height to Live Crown (m)
L1 Merch	>17.5cm DBH	Fd90Sx5PI5	831	50	32	24	355	35%	1.7-6.6m
L1 Submerch	12.5 - 17.5 cm DBH	Fd100	188	3			11		
L2	7.5 - 12.5 cm DBH	Fd80Sx20	163						
L3	<7.5 cm DBH, >1.3m height	Fd80Sx20	863						
L4	<1.3m height	Fd90Sx10	900						
		TOTAL SPH	2943						
Block: TU D									
LAYER	Definition	Species Composition by Basal Area (L1) by density (L2-L4)	Stems per hectare	Basal area (m²/ha)	DBHq (cm)	Height q (m)	Volume (m³/ha)	Crown Closure (% Cover)	Height to Live Crown (m)
L1 Merch	>17.5cm DBH	Fd100	559	50	39	25	368	25%	1.8-7.2
L1 Submerch	12.5 - 17.5 cm DBH	Fd100	75	1			4		
L2	7.5 - 12.5 cm DBH	Fd90Sx10	225						
L3	<7.5 cm DBH, >1.3m height	Fd95PI5	2125						
L4	<1.3m height	Fd90Sx10	725						
		TOTAL SPH	3709						

TREATMENT SPECIFICATIONS	
Activity	Treatment Specifications
Live Tree Thinning:	<p>Cutting Specifications:</p> <p><u>TU A Overview:</u> A clumpy* TFB method will be employed utilizing existing gaps and clumps within this TU.</p> <p>Tree thinning: Total target density will be 575 sph (+/- 100 sph) which requires an overall TFB treatment to < 45 cm dbh, to reach a target basal area of 22 m²/ha for trees > 12.5 cm dbh. From this total target, TFB between 12.5 – 45 cm dbh to a target density of 250 sph (+/- 50 sph). TFB stems < 12.5 cm dbh to a target density of 325 sph (+/- 50 sph).</p> <p><i>*Clumpy distribution: Following the above thinning specifications, treatment activities will retain distributed clumps of diverse tree heights. Retained trees within each clump will have similar heights and will not represent a ladder fuel hazard to surrounding trees. Therefore, each clump will be surrounded by a gap defined as an area that is absent of coniferous vegetation. L1 interclump distance will be approximately 0.8 times of retained L1 average tree-heights for this TU and interclump distances for the L2 and L3/L4 clumps will be 10m minimum distance.</i></p> <p><u>TU B Overview:</u> A clumpy** TFB method will be employed utilizing existing gaps and clumps within this TU.</p> <p>Tree thinning: Total target density will be 625 sph (+/- 100 sph) which requires an overall TFB treatment to < 45 cm dbh to reach a target basal area of 23 m²/ha for trees > 12.5 cm dbh. From this total target, TFB between 12.5 – 45 cm dbh to a target density of 275 sph (+/- 50 sph). TFB < 12.5 cm dbh to a target density of 350 sph (+/- 50 sph).</p> <p><i>**Clumpy distribution: Following the above thinning specifications, treatment activities will retain distributed clumps of diverse tree heights. Retained trees within each clump will have similar heights and will not represent a ladder fuel hazard to surrounding trees. Therefore, each clump will be surrounded by a gap defined as an area that is absent of coniferous vegetation. L1 interclump distance will be approximately 0.8 times of retained L1 average tree-heights for this TU and interclump distances for the L2 and L3/L4 clumps will be 10m minimum distance.</i></p>

	<p>TU C Overview: An even distribution TFB method will be employed within this TU.</p> <p>Tree thinning: Total target density will be 375 sph (+/- 100 sph) which requires an overall TFB treatment to < 35 cm dbh to reach a target basal area of 23 m²/ha for trees > 12.5 cm dbh. From this total target, TFB between 12.5 – 35 cm dbh to a target density of 275 sph (+/- 50 sph) or an average inter-tree distance of 6.4m. TFB < 12.5 cm dbh to a target density of 100 sph (+/- 50 sph).</p> <p>TU D: A clumpy*** STS method following directives from General Wildlife Measure 10 and TFB (General Wildlife Measure 9) of the 'Amended – ORDER #U-5-001, U-5-002 and U-5-003' will be employed utilizing existing gaps and clumps within this TU.</p> <p>Tree thinning: Total target density will be 600 sph (+/- 100 sph) which requires an overall TFB treatment to < 55 cm dbh to reach a target basal area of 29 m²/ha for trees > 12.5 cm dbh. From this total target, TFB between 12.5 – 52.5 cm dbh to a target density of 350 sph (+/- 50 sph). TFB < 12.5 cm dbh to a target density of 250 sph +/- 50 sph.</p> <p>***Clumpy distribution: <i>Following the above thinning specifications, treatment activities will retain distributed clumps of diverse tree heights. Retained trees within each clump will have similar heights and will not represent a ladder fuel hazard to surrounding trees. Therefore, each clump will be surrounded by a gap defined as an area that is absent of coniferous vegetation. Clumps will be spaced at an approximate distance of 0.3 and 1.0 times (averaging 0.5 times) the average retained L1 tree height.</i></p> <p>Across TUs A, B, C, & D: Maximum stump height will be 15 cm from ground for all live tree cutting (measured from the high side on sloped terrain). Additionally, cut angles will be less than 10 degrees. Non-thrifty, unhealthy or dead trees will be specifically targeted for removal. Fd trees are preferred for retention over Sx and PI and all deciduous trees of any dbh class will be retained. Thinning in the larger diameter classes will aim to break up canopy continuity and reduce overall crown closure to between 20-30%.</p> <p>See Appendix A & accompanying Appendix F for stand and stock tables with summaries of planned removals by size class and Appendix C for sample figures of Clumpy distribution. <i>***Reverse J-Curves and stand and stock tables were reviewed and quality checked for accuracy by Norman Caldicott, RPF, Silviculture Specialist.</i></p> <p>Retention Specifications: Scarring and marking on retained trees will represent < 10% net treatment/ha. In all TUs, preference will be given to Fd over all other coniferous tree species. All trembling aspen, birch stems will be retained and considered "ghost trees" – (i.e., will not contribute to target densities).</p> <p>Rocky Mountain Juniper is important for First Nation's traditional use. Rocky Mountain Juniper, due to its high flammability, may be retained only if it is in a standalone position both vertically and horizontally. No more than 5 Rocky Mountain Juniper trees per hectare may be retained. Common Juniper represents a significant fire hazard and will be removed during treatment. Field crews must be properly trained to identify and distinguish both species.</p> <p>For cutting adjacent to recognized recreational trails refer to the Recreation Section of RESOLUTION OF IDENTIFIED RESOURCE ISSUES for further specifications.</p>
Merchantable log removal	<p>Current density targets are set to avoid excessive thinning of large diameter class size merchantable trees, as the treatment area overlaps with designated Mule Deer and Ungulate Winter Range, which guides the upper limit of dbh class removal of Fd stems.</p> <p>Merchantable log removal will be minimal in TU A, high in both TU B and C, and moderate in TU D.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TU A is approximately 14 m³/ha and consisted of all diameter class trees between 12.5 and 40 cm dbh, • TU B is approximately 137 m³/ha and consists of all diameter class trees between 12.5 and 45 cm dbh, • TU C is approximately 188 m³/ha and consists of all diameter class trees between 12.5 and 35 cm dbh, and • TU D is approximately 169 m³/ha and consists of all diameter class trees between 12.5 – 50 cm dbh.
Shrubs	<p>Live deciduous shrubs will be retained. Dead shrubs and dead stems on living shrubs will be slashed where possible to promote suckering. Where possible, pile and burn common juniper on the ground, when encountered. Crews should be trained to correctly identify this species.</p>
Coarse Fuel	<p>TUs are characterized by variable amounts of existing coarse woody debris (CWD) (See Table 1). Coarse woody debris is</p>

Loading (>7.5 cm diameter)	<p>an important ecosystem/habitat element. Retain a maximum of 100 pieces per hectare (approximately < 1.0 kg/m² or 10 tonnes/ha) with preference for pieces > 20 cm diameter at smallest point and > 5m in length. Existing decayed large diameter coarse woody debris (> Decay Class 3 or higher²) will be given preference for retention to enhance wildlife habitat and ecosystem values. CWD in decay class 4 or above is not counted towards CWD limits or target. A 'piece' within this prescription is defined as a 5m length, however as longer pieces are preferred, longer logs may be counted as multiple pieces. For example – a 10 m log will count as 2 pieces. Retained CWD will be bucked if necessary so that they lay flat to the ground along 70% or more of their length. Distribution of CWD will be scattered (not piled or continuous) and logs will not be touching.</p> <p>No green (freshly cut wood) Douglas fir CWD may be left to meet CWD targets due to bark beetle concerns. Avoid scorching large existing CWD (>20 cm dbh) during burning operations. Machine operation will avoid compacting or disturbing large, old CWD.</p> <p>Table 1. Existing and target CWD by TU.</p> <table><tr><th rowspan="2">Treatment Unit</th><th colspan="2">Existing</th><th colspan="2">Max. Target</th></tr><tr><th>CWD (pieces/ha)</th><th>CWD (kg/m²)</th><th>CWD (pieces/ha)</th><th>CWD (kg/m²)</th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>300</td><td>2.3</td><td>100</td><td>1.0</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>380</td><td>3.0</td><td>100</td><td>1.0</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>140</td><td>1.0</td><td>100</td><td>1.0</td></tr><tr><td>D</td><td>20</td><td>0.2</td><td>100</td><td>1.0</td></tr></table>	Treatment Unit	Existing		Max. Target		CWD (pieces/ha)	CWD (kg/m ²)	CWD (pieces/ha)	CWD (kg/m ²)	A	300	2.3	100	1.0	B	380	3.0	100	1.0	C	140	1.0	100	1.0	D	20	0.2	100	1.0
Treatment Unit	Existing		Max. Target																											
	CWD (pieces/ha)	CWD (kg/m ²)	CWD (pieces/ha)	CWD (kg/m ²)																										
A	300	2.3	100	1.0																										
B	380	3.0	100	1.0																										
C	140	1.0	100	1.0																										
D	20	0.2	100	1.0																										
Fine (0-2.5 cm) and Medium (2.5 – 7.5 cm) Fuel Loading	<p>Existing fine woody debris (FWD) and medium woody debris (MWD) fuel loading was moderate with a combined average across all TUs of 1.75 kg/m², see Table 2 for amounts by each TU. Post-treatment surface fuels (FWD and MWD combined fuel loading) will not exceed 0.5 kg/m² (5 tonnes/ha). Retention of FWD and MWD will be scattered and discontinuous.</p> <p>Table 2. Existing and target FWD and MWD by TU.</p> <table><tr><th rowspan="2">Treatment Unit</th><th colspan="3">Existing</th><th rowspan="2">Max. Total Target (kg/m²)</th></tr><tr><th>MWD (kg/m²)</th><th>FWD (kg/m²)</th><th>Total FWD + MWD (kg/m²)</th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>1.4</td><td>0.8</td><td>2.2</td><td>0.5</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>0.7</td><td>0.7</td><td>1.4</td><td>0.5</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>1.0</td><td>0.8</td><td>1.8</td><td>0.5</td></tr><tr><td>D</td><td>1.0</td><td>0.9</td><td>1.9</td><td>0.5</td></tr></table>	Treatment Unit	Existing			Max. Total Target (kg/m ²)	MWD (kg/m ²)	FWD (kg/m ²)	Total FWD + MWD (kg/m ²)	A	1.4	0.8	2.2	0.5	B	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.5	C	1.0	0.8	1.8	0.5	D	1.0	0.9	1.9	0.5	
Treatment Unit	Existing			Max. Total Target (kg/m ²)																										
	MWD (kg/m ²)	FWD (kg/m ²)	Total FWD + MWD (kg/m ²)																											
A	1.4	0.8	2.2	0.5																										
B	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.5																										
C	1.0	0.8	1.8	0.5																										
D	1.0	0.9	1.9	0.5																										
Fuel Hazard Abatement	<p>According to the 2012 'A Guide to Fuel Hazard Assessment and Abatement in British Columbia' document, this prescription area is mapped as 'Severe' and due to the site's characteristics: (flat, minimal slope, Douglas-fir dominated) and with prescribed fuel dispersion; requires the development of an abatement plan in accordance with the legislation. According to legislation, a forest professional can develop an assessment strategy and an abatement plan that is tailored to the local site. This fuel management prescription in its entirety forms the fuel hazard abatement plan. This prescription requires the retention of no more than a total of 0.5 kg/m² (5 tonnes/ha) of fuel loading size class < 7.5 cm (FWD and MWD). FWD and MWD contribute the most to rapid fire spread and are thus reduced to these low limits. Finally, CWD will be scattered and lay flat to the ground so that CWD will decay more rapidly and contain a higher fuel moisture for most of the year. No hazardous fuel will be left post-treatment that is piled or accumulated or that could represent additional fire hazard.</p>																													
Pruning	<p>Retained conifers of all L1, L2, and L3 size classes will be pruned. Pruning will remove branches from all retained trees to a minimum of 3.5 m from the ground or to a height that maintains 40% of the total tree height as live crown, whichever is less. The 3.5 m minimum will be measured from the ground to the lowest reaching point of a branch (usually the branch tip). It is expected that live crown ratio will be minimally impacted by this treatment prescription. Pruning cuts will aim to be flush with the tree stem without cutting into the branch collar, and branch stubs must be less than 1cm long. Dead branches >5 cm in diameter may be left unpruned at the discretion of the operator.</p>																													
Debris Disposal – Pile Burning	<p>Pile burning may be employed as a debris disposal method, following these procedures when piles are burned within the forested area of the treatment unit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">All burning is to be conducted in compliance with the BC Wildfire Act and Wildfire Regulation, and the Environmental Management Act Open Burning Smoke Control Regulations. Exceptions include practices explicitly managed under the smoke management plan, or where burning occurs under an Authorization to Discharge Waste under the																													

² <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hts/risc/pubs/teecolo/fmdte/cwdcom.htm>

	<p>Environmental Management Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Therefore, no burning within 100 meters of a residence or business (there will be very few instances of this), • To minimize the effect of operations on public health, debris burning must be conducted in accordance with an approved smoke management plan developed in consultation with the MFLNRO Williams Lake Branch and approved by the CRD and the local Fire Chief. • Burn piles must be constructed to facilitate effective ignition and complete combustion with minimal tending by ground crews. Piles will feature a mix of small/large and live/dead stem and branch sections arranged to burn efficiently with minimal smoke production. No piles will contain bole sections > 3m in length. • Burn piles must not be located within an RMZ, 3 m of any trail, 3 m of animal burrows, 3 m of snags, and must not result in scorching of or heat damage to more than 5% of retained trees, pile burn locations will be chosen to avoid species that are sensitive to scorch such as Douglas-fir due to beetle infestation risk. • Burn piles must be within the treatment area boundary, in minimal number of piles, and adhere to a maximum size of 3m x 3m x 2 m tall (Category 2 piles). To reduce the number of piles, burning should occur concurrently with thinning operations using the hot-fed technique. However, up to 100 piles per hectare may be created if concurrent burning during thinning is not possible due to fire weather conditions. • The ventilation index will be indicated as 'good' (> 64) prior to igniting burn piles. Ventilation index is according to the forecast ventilation index prepared using Environment Canada data and approved by a director or forest official. Local ventilation must be confirmed by lighting a small test pile before lighting multiple piles. Burning can only continue if indices remain at fair or better. The Contract Supervisor may halt burning at their discretion if concerns related to public safety or health are identified. It is the contractor's responsibility to monitor venting and adjust activities accordingly. Smoke will not negatively impact residences and the Community of 150 Mile House. Further requirements may be imposed, depending on public reaction and smoke conditions at the time of implementation. • It is the contractor's responsibility to obtain all necessary burning permits from relevant agencies before burning (MoFLNRO, MoE, etc).
Debris Disposal – Chipping	<p>In order to reduce smoke impacts on the valley and to follow regulations outlined in OBSCR, chipping may be employed where operationally feasible.</p> <p>Where chipping occurs, chips will be distributed evenly on-site, targeting an average of 5 cm depth over the dispersal area and a maximum depth of 10 cm in any given spot, including trails. Chips may not be dispersed outside of block boundaries, onto trails or into RRZs and RMZs. Chips will not contribute to FWD loading kg/m². Chipped material that will result in depths greater than those outlined above must be hauled off-site to an appropriate disposal facility.</p>
Debris Removal – Biomass Utilization	<p>Under the FESBC funding guidelines, fibre utilization from damaged or low value forests is an important requisite for advancing environmental and resource stewardship of British Columbia's forests. Fibre resulting from harvesting activities will be utilized, whenever possible, prior to resorting to other debris disposal methods such as burning, chipping or composting. Arrangements may be made to have material removed and used by local companies that utilize wood fibre. The amount and type of material must be determined given the facilities' needs at the time of implementation. Contractors are encouraged to move debris off site for firewood, sale, power generation or other alternative uses. Pile burning of useable or merchantable material is discouraged.</p>
Firewood	<p>At the discretion of the Contract Supervisor, timber greater than 7 cm diameter that is suitable for firewood may be bucked (lengths not exceeding 1.5 m) and piled at locations of easy public access, to be designated by the Contract Supervisor, for community firewood collection. The Contractor is responsible for ensuring that all firewood is either removed or burned by the end of their contract.</p>

OPERATIONAL SPECIFICATIONS

Attentive Contract Supervision

Due to the increased complexity of this prescription – both in its overall geographic size and the multiple objectives of the treatment; it is highly recommended that a Contract supervisor(s) be made available during all phases of the operational treatment activities. The Contract supervisor(s) should be particularly attentive and provide oversight and on-the-ground explanation of the information and specifications provided in this prescription. Furthermore, it is recommended that the Contract Supervisor work closely with the Contractor at the very onset of the project and ensure that these specifications are being met to the fullest extent possible within the agreed upon budget.

Boundary and Riparian Management Zone Flagging

Block boundary – orange and black striped; Falling corners – double orange and black striped and single white ribbon with aluminum tag stating treatment area name; Station corners – double orange and black striped and single white ribbon – written information on white

ribbon; Internal treatment-unit demarcation—yellow ribbon; RRZ - orange and black striped; and RMZ (machine free) – blue ribbon.

Public Consultation

Due to the potential delay between prescription preparation and implementation, affected residents must be consulted on the work plan prior to implementation. This consultation process will be undertaken by the MFLNRO Williams Lake Branch, or the designated Contract Supervisor, and may consist of contacting individual households or holding a general public meeting. Prescription specifications may be modified to accommodate resident interests, but must be formalized as a prescription amendment signed by a qualified RPF.

The area under proposed treatment will directly impact the use of recreational and mountain bike trails during treatment implementation. Consultation will take place with the MFLNRO Williams Lake Branch, specifically Desi Cheverie, Recreation Officer and applicable mountain bike trail user groups (150 Mile Greenbelt, Trails and Heritage Society) to solicit feedback regarding impacts and mitigation measures to protect the trails and trail use. One objective of the project is to demonstrate fuel management practices on a larger scale and as such, public consultation and information sharing is a priority of the project.

Public Notification

At least two weeks prior to implementation, signs describing the treatment must be posted at affected trail heads, and all residents or property owners directly adjacent to the treatment units must be notified of the treatment. Notification will include a contact name and phone number.

Riparian and Lakeshore Zones

All RRZs are excluded from treatment area and all RMZ's are mapped and flagged. All RMZ's are machine free and no pile burning or chipping activities will take place within this zone. Wise Creek, located within TU-C was classified as an S-4 and is assigned a 30m Riparian Management Zone (RMZ). Borland Creek runs south along all of the TU's prescriptions. Borland Creek is classified as an S2 stream and has been assigned a 30 m Riparian Reserve Zone (RRZ) and a 20 m RMZ. All treatment activities within RMZ's comply with Forest Practices Planning and Regulation, see Table 3.

Table 3. Existing, removal and BA % retention of the proposed treatment units.

Treatment Unit	BA/HA Existing	BA/HA Removal	BA % Retention TUs only
WUI TU-A	30	7	78
WUI TU-B	52	27	47
WUI TU-C	57	33	42
WUI TU-D	59	29	50

According to the CCLUP, Redeau Lake has a Lakeshore management zone of 190 m and is classified as a Lakeshore Management Class 'D' or 'modification' for visual quality objective in the LMZ. This 190m buffer partially overlaps a section of TU D. The CCLUP Part 1: Interpretation Schedule 2 (2011) documentation states a 'partial cutting' specification direction of:

- Max. disturbed area is 100% of the LMZ every 20 years, and
- BA retention in the LMZ is 50%.

A review of recent forest activities indicates very little recent historical cutting within the LMZ of Redeau Lake and the proposed area of treatment is significantly below 100%. Therefore, this prescription will not exceed the 100% disturbance within 20 years limit. In addition, this prescription proposes a >50% reduction in BA (Table 3) within this small overlap with Redeau Lake's LMZ which meets Schedule 2's 50% BA retention. Finally, Section 18 of the CCLUP Part 1: Interpretation (2011) states that variance is permitted in the LMZ, if:

- Reduction of fine surface debris, ladder fuels and small diameter trees in the intermediate and overtopped crown classes within interface fire management plan areas, where that does not diminish old growth characteristics.

The activities proposed in TU-D align well with the above bulleted fire management plan variance allowance for activities in LMZ's.

Soil Conservation

See table below (Soil Disturbance) for specific soil hazard assessment ratings. The treatment areas (TUs A, B, and C) are primarily located on flat ground, with the exception of their southern portions, which are characterized by steep slopes within the canyon leading to Borland Creek. TU D is primarily characterized as flat, though the northern portion bordering Borland Creek is slightly steeper.

Whenever practicable, machines will use existing trails. Bladed trails must be located in consultation with the Contract Supervisor and must avoid areas of seepage. Any soil displacement must be rehabilitated as soon as possible, including slope recontouring and surfacing with material that inhibits erosion and establishment of invasive species. All debris removal operations are restricted to conditions that will ensure soil disturbance requiring rehabilitation does not exceed 10% per hectare of net treatment area.

During burning, debris hauling, and equipment transport:

- Minimize soil disturbance and forest floor displacement,
- Vehicular access corridors must be approved by the Contract Supervisor and mapped and photo-documented prior to treatment,
- Should an unacceptable level of soil disturbance occur on trails or within the treatment area, the Contract Supervisor will develop an appropriate rehabilitation plan.
- Established trails will be maintained in or restored to pre-treatment condition, or as specified by the Contract Supervisor.
- Deactivation of temporary access will be completed immediately following treatment implementation, in order to inhibit any future vehicular/ATV access into treatment areas.
- Deactivation and rehabilitation is site specific and can involve any combination of the following approaches: 1. Removal and/or redistribution of woody materials as necessary to limit the concentration of subsurface moisture in the area; 2. Decompaction of compacted soils, where possible; 3. Returning displaced surface soils, retrievable side-cast and berm materials; and/or 4. Revegetation of exposed mineral soils.

Wildlife Tree Retention

The Land Use Objectives for the Cariboo-Chilcotin Land Use Plan Area: Part 2 – Objective, Sections 6 and 7 (2011) outlines requirements for wildlife tree retention (WTR). According to these Sections, TUs B and C fall under Section 6 (as these prescriptions recommend BA % removal > 50% of pre-harvest stand basal area, see Table 3) while TUs A and D fall under Section 7 (<50% pre-harvest stand basal area, see Table 3). Under Section 7, TUs A and D sufficiently meet requirements outlined in the Order's Schedule 1 (detailed for these applicable Williams Lakes Landscape Units as WTR 8-10% gross harvest area). Since TU's B and C fall under Section 6, the prescribing forester must address the addition of WTR areas. This prescription is written with an important objective of reducing hazardous fuels and thus requires the prescribing forester to produce a continuous fuel treatment in order to greatly reduce the spread and behaviour of wildfire. For this reason, the prescribing forester does not recommend WTRs within the fuel management TUs B and C. The prescribing forester has allocated each of TU B and C's RRZs as representing WTR target areas. TU B's RRZ area is 8.7 ha (or 47% of the target gross harvest area) while TU C's RRZ area is 7.2 ha (representing 24% of the target gross harvest area). According to these aforementioned target gross values, TUs B and C meet the CCLUP's wildlife tree retention targets.

This prescription is written such that the largest and healthiest trees (high and moderate value wildlife trees) as well as veteran trees are retained throughout the TU rather than in patches. A variety of tree species should be represented throughout the treatment areas for wildlife trees, including deciduous species. According to the *Biodiversity Guidebook* (1995), trees that have evidence of wildlife use (ie., cavities and nests) or heart rot, that are sizeable and well-branched, will be retained to ensure management for biodiversity objectives and long-term provision of valuable habitat for conservation and enhancement of wildlife.

The Contractor must conduct a Wildlife/Danger Tree Assessment (DTA) to identify and retain high value wildlife trees without unduly reducing the effectiveness of the fuel management treatment. Hazardous trees (as defined in the Workers' Compensation Board Occupational Health and Safety Regulation Part 26: Forestry Operations and Similar Activities³) must be assessed for risk, and if determined to pose a risk to workers, be removed or have a no work zone established of suitable size to protect workers. This assessment must be done by a qualified individual who has completed a training program acceptable to the WorkSafeBC Board. The responsibility of completing the DTA is the designated Prime Contractor's.

No large diameter trees (> 60 cm dbh) can be removed without the approval of the contract supervisor. In addition, high value wildlife trees must be prioritized for retention, where no work zones (NWZ) will not unduly reduce the effectiveness of the fuel management treatment. The shape and size of the NWZ will be determined based on the nature of the hazard and the lean of the tree, and aim to reduce the amount of area removed from the treatment.

Measures to prevent the spread of Invasive Plants

No invasive plants were noted during prescription development; however, Best Management Practices (BMPs) should be used to minimize the spread of invasive plants.

These include:

1. Clean equipment such as ATVs, trucks, or other vehicles when entering and exiting the treatment unit.
2. Avoid driving through or parking on weed infestations.
3. Seed or rake nearby native and uncontaminated forest floor materials over exposed mineral soil, including burned areas.

Breeding Birds

As per the BC Wildlife Act, Section 34, a person must not injure, molest or damage a bird or its egg, or a nest occupied by a bird or its egg. The nests of eagles, peregrine falcons, gyrfalcons, ospreys, herons and burrowing owls are specifically protected through the entire year under this regulation. In addition, the federal Migratory Birds Convention Act prohibits the killing of migratory birds directly or indirectly, or

³ <http://www2.worksafebc.com/publications/ohsregulation/part26.asp>

to disturb or destroy their eggs, nests or nest shelters during the breeding season.

To ensure compliance with these Acts, breeding bird/nest surveys must be conducted during the breeding season⁴ (April 11 – Aug 23) by a qualified biologist prior to tree felling. See Outstanding Works Section below.

Powerlines

Distribution powerlines and communication lines run adjacent to Pigeon Rd, the western portion of Redeau Lake Road and the treatment boundary and are present within all TUs. All work, including but not limited to felling, must be done in accordance with the utility providers safe work procedures. This may include using a certified utility arborist for falling trees adjacent to this infrastructure. It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure all safety and legal requirements are met including WorkSafeBC regulations that specify safe operating procedures.

Safety

Appropriate precautions must be taken by the Contractor to ensure safety of workers and the public. Workers must follow WorkSafeBC guidelines, as well as any other applicable safety guidelines. There is public access along both Pigeon Rd and Redeau Lake Rd; therefore, access points must be controlled and appropriately signed. If necessary, trails and roads may be closed during operations, however, it is preferred that traffic control systems be used to allow safe public access through the area while operations are ongoing to limit impacts to local public.

Fire Prevention and Suppression Capabilities

In addition to any requirements imposed by the BC Wildfire Act and Wildfire Regulation and District Burning Bylaws:

- Crews will have two functional cell phones on site at all times (where cellular service is available) and follow a written fire emergency reporting procedure.
- If burning occurs when snow is not present, crews will consult with the local Fire Department and maintain hydrant access capability (subject to availability), where burn-piles are located within 300 m of structural values at risk. Where hydrants are not available other measures must be implemented in compliance with regional fire bylaws and to the satisfaction of the Fire Chief and to a level that satisfies Wildfire Regulations under the Wildfire Act.

Stocking Standards

A combination of single tree selection and retention silviculture systems will be managed for an initial entry followed by potential harvest entries between 30 and 50 years. In essence, the stand will be managed for one rotation entry and residual stems. Post-treatment retention level targets are specified in the prescription and range between 375-615 sph. Reforestation is not prescribed. See Rationale below.

Uneven aged dry belt fir stocking standards taken out of the Williams Lake FSP (2012) as well as proposed Fire Management Stocking Standards documented in the Fire Management Stocking Standards Guidance Document (2016) are included for reference (Table 4):

Table 4. Stocking standards (top, Williams Lake FSP; bottom, Proposed Fire Management Stocking standards) for IDF dk301 site series within TUs.

Zone/SZ	Series	Species		Stocking			Min Intertree distance (m)	Regen Delay (max years)
		Preferred (p)	Acceptable (a)	Target	Min p&a	Min p		
<i>Uneven aged dry belt fir:</i>	01	Fdi, Pli	Sx	1200	700	600	2	-
	01	Fdi, Pli	Sx	1000	500	400	2	-
	01	Fdi, Pli	Sx	800	400	300	2	-
IDF dk3	01	Fdi, Pli	Sx	600	300	250	0	7
Proposed Fire Management Stocking Standards								
IDF dk3	01	Lw/1.0 Py/0.6 Fd/0.8 At	-	175	150	100	2	7

All TU's are field classified and determined to be dominated by IDF dk3 01 sites; therefore, stocking standards for this site series will be used for comparison. In addition to this site series, there are isolated areas located in the westernmost portions of TUs C which are

⁴ http://www.ec.gc.ca/paom-itmb/default.asp?lang=En&n=4f39a78f-1#_03

classified as IDF xm 01 sites as well as isolated pockets of IDF dk3 07 site series (located adjacent to the Borland Creek Canyon).

When comparing this prescription's specifications to the Williams Lake FSP, the uneven aged dry belt fir stocking standards will be met for all of the TUs as the range of minimum preferred species (Fdi, Pli) is between 250 and 600 sph while this prescription outlines a post-treatment stand condition between 375 and 615 sph (see Table 4). In addition, all TUs will meet the reduced Fire Management Stocking Standards for IDF dk3 (Table 4).

Rationale for Reforestation Exemption

- This prescription will meet the requirements of Mule Deer Winter Range GWM 01 by meeting GWM 01 Section (b) post-treatment.
- No new openings greater than 0.1 ha will be created under the recommended treatment activities and specifications within this prescription.
- The proposed fuel treatment will utilize a variable even-aged management approach that includes both a single tree selection silviculture system and or a retention system (similar to variable retention). The retention systems applied will be designed to retain individual trees or groups of trees to maintain structural diversity over the area of the cutblock for at least one rotation.
- From a fire perspective, the application of a retention system will be used to reduce hazardous coniferous understory development/ingress, decrease ladder fuels, increase understory shrub and herb production, and decrease crown bulk density (aerial fuel continuity). The fuel break will maintain a lower fuel moisture content and will decrease the occurrence of high wind speeds within the stand. With an objective of reduced fire behavior potential, the intent of specific fuel treatment prescriptions will be a one-time entry to remove a portion of merchantable, non-merchantable, downed stems and fine fuels. Regeneration and timber production at the stand level are considered secondary objectives within the context of fire hazard reduction.

LAND STATUS, FOREST PLANNING, MAPPING AND REFERRALS	
Appropriate investigations have been conducted to ensure that the area included in the prescription is crown land or land under the direct control or ownership of provincial, federal, or municipal government. The area proposed does not overlap or conflict with private land, an Indian reserve, a park or protected area, and is not encumbered by other tenures or jurisdictional issues.	Yes ☒ No ☐
This application is consistent with a Community Wildfire Protection Plan for the area. If there is no CWPP for the area, MoFLNRO Wildfire Management Branch staff must have signed off on the proposed treatment:	Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Map(s) are attached and comply with the standard required.	Yes ☒ No ☐
The prescription has been referred to the appropriate major licensee and BCTS and documentation of the response is available indicating no significant reasons to prevent the proposed operations. A Referral package has been sent to BCTS (Sept 5, 2017).	Yes ☒ NA ☐
The major licensee(s) have been provided with an accurate location and assessment of potential impact on silviculture from use of trails and landings in plantations.	Yes ☐ NA ☒
A field assessment has been conducted and all resource values that could be materially affected by this fuel management proposal have been appropriately addressed.	Yes ☒ NA ☐
RESOURCE VALUES: <i>The area has been checked for the following values and appropriate management is described if an issue is noted.</i>	
Other leases, licences or permits: The proposed treatment area overlaps Range, Trapline, Guide Outfitters licenses and permits.	Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Ungulate winter range (UWR): TUs A, B and C are entirely overlapping the Jones Creek (dwl 49) 'moderate' stand structure habitat class Mule Deer Winter Range, while TU D is part of the 'high' stand structure habitat class Jones Creek (dwl 49) Mule Deer Winter Range.	Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Wildlife habitat areas: No overlap with Wildlife Habitat Areas.	Yes ☐ No ☒ NA ☐
Lakeshore management zone (consistent with any lakeshore management zone objectives): Redeau Lake located to the southwest of the Area of Interest has a Lakeshore management zone of 190 m and overlaps part of TU D.	Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Visual Quality (check 'No' if the treatment area is not consistent with visual quality requirements): VQO of 'Partial Retention' only in TU A and B. Proposed density targets maintain sufficient canopy closure that 'retention' objective will be achieved.	Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Community watershed: No overlap.	Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☒
Domestic water licence intakes or water delivery infrastructures that might be affected: TU's B, C, and D overlap with one Point of Diversion (License number: C063252, POD: PD40038). Referral packages have been sent to appropriate POD licence owners (May 1, 2017). Response received from Ducks Unlimited requests that any activities carried out do not impact either the headgate or the ditch associated with the POD, which can be assured by taking the following precautions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimize disturbance of the ditch edge, side-slopes and bottom, and any riparian vegetation such as grasses, shrubs or young trees, so that the ditch remains stable. 2. Should any portion of the ditch require filling (e.g. to cross it with equipment or trucks), ensure the ditch is returned to the condition it was in before the forestry activities were started, so that the ditch will continue to allow water to flow unobstructed. 3. Ensure the trail present along the ditch in some places is left clear following forestry activities, so machinery can continue to access the ditch in the future. 	Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐

<p>4. Minimize disturbance of any infrastructure that exists at the diversion point or along the ditch, such as culverts or low weirs made out of corrugated steel, wood, concrete or other materials.</p> <p>The aforementioned recommendations will be incorporated into the planning and implementation of the proposed treatment.</p>		
Species at Risk: Multiple SAR and at-risk ecological communities.		Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Old Growth Management Areas: No overlap.		Yes ☐ No ☒ NA ☐
Forest Ecosystem Networks: No overlap.		Yes ☐ No ☒ NA ☐
Guide Outfitters License: Treatment area overlaps with a guide outfitter license (certificate number: 500908). Referral package has been sent to the appropriate guide outfitter certificate holder (May 1, 2017) and no issues were identified.		Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Range tenures: fences, natural range barriers – (referral package was sent on May 1, 2017): Treatment area overlaps two range tenures (RAN076829 and RAN076628). Fences are present in all three treatment units. Referral packages have been sent to the appropriate range tenure holders (May 1, 2017) and no issues were identified.		Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
<p>Recreation resources, features, recreation site, forest interpretive site or recreation trail: All TUs overlap recreational and mountain bike trails. A referral package has been sent to 150 Mile Greenbelt and Trails Society (May 1, 2017). Response received from 150 Mile Greenbelt and Trails Society requests the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Main concern is the integrity of the trail network post treatment. 2. Most trails are multi use trails and treatment adjacent to the trails must ensure that braiding of the trails does not occur due to increased sight lines around corners on the various trails. 3. Retain all/most green trees adjacent to trails and place large woody debris perpendicular to the trails (very important on the inside of trail corners). 4. Skid trails from the 2300 -Road (Moffat FSR) must be deactivated to ensure the skid trails do not become shortcuts to access the trail network. 5. Old non-status roads that are legal trails must not be used as skid trails or roads (must be maintained in original condition). <p>The aforementioned recommendations will be incorporated into the planning and implementation of the proposed treatment.</p>		Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Archaeological Resources: No direct overlap of archeological resources, though one point of high archaeological potential was identified west of TU D.		Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Cultural Heritage Resources (Referral required to appropriate First Nations): T'exelc First Nation or Williams Lake Indian Band – a referral package was sent out September 5, 2017.		Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Wildlife habitat features: [Must comply with FPPR s. 70(2) unless exempted under section 92(2)]		Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☒
Resource features: [Must comply with FPPR s. 70(1) unless exempted under section 91(1)]:		Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☒
Other: Trapline license, Guide outfitters license, invasive alien plants		Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
Written comments from public referral: See section OPERATIONAL SPECIFICATIONS		Yes ☐ No ☐ TBD ☒
RESOLUTION OF IDENTIFIED RESOURCE ISSUES.		
Recreational Trails, Temporary Access Trails, landings and Roadside Screening	<p>Sanctioned and non-sanctioned recreational trails are present throughout the treatment areas (See Map). Existing sanctioned and non-sanctioned recreational trails can only be used as temporary access trails if the width of the trail can accommodate the proposed machinery. All sanctioned and non-sanctioned trails and trail intersections will be built and utilized following approval from the FLNRO representative and will be rehabilitated following use (see Soil Disturbance Section). All trails will be rehabilitated to their pre-treatment condition if used during the fuel treatment operations.</p> <p>Per the MDWR GWM 03, where new temporary trails are proposed and constructed; these will not be located within 30 m of ridges or topographic breaks (See Hillshade Maps, Appendix E). Per the MDWR GWM 02, where landings are proposed and constructed; these will not be located along major topographic features, including within 100 m of minor ridges or minor topographic breaks identified in the field (See Hillshade Maps, Appendix E). In addition, temporary access trails will not exceed 10% of the net harvested area per hectare.</p> <p>Within TU's A, B and D and where practicable, the operator will retain clumps of trees as close to sanctioned trails as possible in order to create recreational trail screening. Clumps size and distribution will follow specifications outlined at the beginning of this document.</p> <p>Screening along Pigeon Road and Moffat FSR will be required in order to protect wildlife from hunters as well as dust abatement. Screening will be retained in strips of maximum of 3 trees in depth and 50 meters in length. Distance between screens will be minimum 30 m. Screens will be strategically located along roadways where there are no existing visual barriers.</p>	
Utility Infrastructure	Distribution powerlines and communication lines run adjacent to Pigeon Rd, Redeau Lake Road and the treatment unit boundaries. All work including but not limited to felling, must be done in accordance with the utility providers safe work procedures. The Contractor must utilize a certified utility arborist to direct tree felling near all utility lines. It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure all safety and legal requirements are met.	
UWR	UWR u-5-002 dwl 49 (Jones Creek) for Mule Deer overlaps TU's A, B, and C entirely, as well as the portion of TU D	

	<p>located north of Redeau Lake Rd, which contains the baseball diamond. After consultation with Becky Bings (FLNRORD Senior Habitat Biologist) GAR Order exemptions will be required for these TUs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WUI TU-B, • WUIT TU-C, and • WUI TU-D. <p>Formal GAR Order exemptions for the above TU's must be obtained before treatment commences.</p>
Known Species at Risk (Local knowledge and CDC)	<p>The Conservation Data Centre reports a generalized Blue-listed Bobolink occurrence adjacent to and overlapping TU D. Habitat for this species is primarily grasslands, so no impact from treatment is anticipated.</p> <p>The Western Painted Turtle (Blue listed) has been reported by local biologists and residents of the Borland area. These sightings most often occur near creeks and lakes near the proposed treatment area; although not exclusively.</p> <p>A known colony of Great Blue Heron (Blue listed) may or may not be occupying a site in the vicinity of the proposed treatment area.</p> <p>See Appendix D for further information on Best Management Practices for the species listed above.</p>
Potential Species at Risk (BC Species and Ecosystem Explorer)	<p>The prescribing foresters used the BC Species and Ecosystem Explorer (January, 2018) and the following criteria to determine the below list: Restricted to Red, Blue and Legally designated species, Central Cariboo Forest District, Habitat Subtypes: Bog, Conifer Forest – Dry, Riparian Forest, Riparian Shrub, Roadside/Ditch and BGC Zone: IDF.</p> <p>Based on these criteria, the following at risk species may be present within the proposed fuel treatment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Western Toad (Yellow listed), • Olive-sided Flycatcher (Blue listed), • Black Swift (Blue listed), • Spotted Bat (Blue listed), • Wolverine (Blue listed), • Lewis's Woodpecker (Blue listed), • Flammulated Owl (Blue listed), • Grizzly Bear (Blue listed), • Little Brown Myotis (Blue listed), • Northern Myotis (Blue listed), and • Fisher (Blue listed). <p>See Appendix D for further information on Best Management Practices for all species listed above.</p>
Potential at-Risk Ecocommunities (BC Species and Ecosystem Explorer)	<p>The prescribing foresters used the BC Species and Ecosystem Explorer (January, 2018) and the following criteria: Red or Blue listed, Central Cariboo Forest District, MOE Region 5 (Cariboo), BGC zone/subzone/variant: IDFdk3, IDFXm and ecosections: CAB (Cariboo Basin) in order to search for at-risk ecological communities. Based on the listed criteria, the following ecocommunities may be present within the proposed fuel treatment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alkali Saltgrass Herbaceous vegetation (IDFdk3/Gs01, IDFdk3/Gs01) and Alkali Saltgrass – Nuttall's alkaligrass (IDFdk3, IDFXm), and • Douglas-fir -Common Juniper-clad lichens (IDFXm3). <p>See Appendix D for further information on Best Management Practices for all species listed above.</p>
Visual Quality: Retention	<p>TU's A, B, and C have a VQO of "Partial Retention" (PR). This thin from below treatment is likely to have minimal effects on the viewsapes provided by the stand in the treatment area. Stems will be retained such that the objective of retention and shaded fuel break characteristics are achieved. The partial retention designation indicates that forest management activities are visible, but remain subordinate⁵, and the proposed treatment activities herein will meet this definition.</p>
Point of Diversion License	<p>TU B, C and D overlap a Point of Diversion (Licence No. C036903). This POD will not be directly affected by the proposed treatments within this FMP.</p> <p>While no in-stream works are proposed, treatment implementation must follow the Water Sustainability Act Regulation. This means ensuring no materials adversely impact the stream, maintaining water quality standards, and ensuring no disturbance or removal of stable natural materials and vegetation. Treatment must be done so that</p>

⁵ <http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/tasb/legsregs/fpc/fpcguide/visual/via10008.htm>

	water users are not adversely affected.		
Archaeological Resources	<p>According to Provincial records there are no known archaeological sites recorded within the proposed treatment areas. However, a continuous area north and south of Borland Creek is located within an area of high archaeological potential.</p> <p>Local knowledge of the affected First Nations indicates the possibility for archeological resources to exist in all TUs. Prior to implementation, an Eligible Consulting Archaeologist will be contacted to review the proposed activities and, where warranted, conduct a walk over and/or detailed study of the area to determine whether the work may impact archaeological materials. If the archaeologist determines that development activities will not impact any archaeological deposits, then a permit is not required. Any results of this assessment will be incorporated into the prescription prior to any implementation activities taking place.</p> <p>There is always a limited possibility for unknown archaeological sites to exist within the area of interest. Archaeological sites (both recorded and unrecorded) are protected under the Heritage Conservation Act and must not be altered or damaged without a permit from the Archaeology Branch. If any land-altering development is planned for the area, owners and operators will be notified if an archaeological site is encountered during development, activities must be halted and the Archaeology Branch contacted at 250-953-3334 for direction.</p> <p>See Outstanding Works Section below.</p>		
Traplines	Trapline Area Identifier is: TR0211T006. It is not expected that fuel treatment activities will have appreciable and longterm negative impacts on trapping opportunities.		
Guide Outfitters License	Guiding Certificate Number is: 500908. It is not expected that fuel treatment activities will have appreciable or longterm negative impacts on guiding opportunities.		
Invasive Alien Plants	<p>Invasive plants exist along 1) Pigeon Road, north of the intersection between Wise Creek and Borland Creek and adjacent to TU B; and 2) Southwest from the intersection between Pigeon Road and Moffat Forest Service Road, and adjacent to TU D. Reported occurrences in the vicinity of the proposed TU B and C include Sulphur cinquefoil (SC), Spotted knapweed (SK), Canada thistle (CT), Oxeye daisy (OD), Hawkweed (HS), Western Goat's beard (WG), and Bull thistle (BT). Reported occurrences in the vicinity of the proposed TU D include Burdock (BU), Oxeye daisy (OD), and Dalmatian toadflax (DT).</p> <p>Occurrences of the above listed plants as well as potential other invasive plants along roadways, power line right of way, and trails should be expected. Operations will minimize the spread of invasive plants:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Minimize trips through identified invasive plant sites. If known invasive plant sites are traversed by foot crew or machinery, clean clothing, boots, and equipment thoroughly before transitioning within and between treatment units.2. Avoid driving through or parking on weed infestations.3. Areas of mineral soil exposed as part of undertaking this treatment that are within 50m of known invasive plant infestations should be re-seeded with a Canadian registered commercial seed mixture suitable to the area.		
OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS			
CONSULTATION – FIRST NATIONS			
First Nation	Concerns Identified and Measures to Address		
T'exelc (Williams Lake Indian Band)	Referral letter to the T'exelc First Nation was sent on September 5, 2017. Preliminary response received on September 6, 2017, from the T'exelc First Nation requests the following: Provision of work for the Williams Lake Indian Band Community (ie., environmental, operational, or archaeological). See Outstanding Works Section.		
First Nations Consultation complete	Yes	No	The concerns above will be addressed prior to commencement of proposed operations and will be lead by a District FLNRORD representative. See Outstanding Works Section.

RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT & BIODIVERSITY		
Streams, wetlands and lakes are correctly classified and indicated on the map.	Yes ☒	No ☐ NA ☐
Appropriate timing for removal of skid trail crossings of streams and non-classified drainages is identified.	Yes ☐	No ☐ NA ☒
Treatment in RMA is consistent with Forest Planning and Practices Regulation (s. 52(1) may not apply)	Yes ☐	No ☐ NA ☒
Treatment is planned in the RMZ identified on the map (machine-free zone, no chipping and no burning zone)	Yes ☒	No ☐ NA ☐
RRZ's have been marked in the field to prevent treatment (if No, complete the next row of this table)	Yes ☐	No ☐ NA ☒
Treatment is planned in an RRZ identified on the map	Yes ☐	No ☒ NA ☐
Wildlife tree retention is consistent with the FPPR: See Wildlife Tree Retention Section above.	Yes ☒	No ☐ NA ☐

RIPARIAN ASSESSMENTS					
Units	Riparian I.D.	Class	RRZ	RMZ	Comments (Indicate if in a community watershed)
All	Borland Creek	S2	30	20	Borland Creek was classified as an S2 riparian feature, intersecting all treatment units. The FPPR requires a 30 m RRZ and 20 m RMZ for S2 streams. The RMZ of this riparian feature must be treated sensitively by hand crews during operations. See 'Riparian Reserve Zones' and 'Soil Conservation' subsections within the 'Operational Specification Section' above for more specific direction.
C	Wise Creek	S4	0	30	In addition to Borland Creek, TU C also has an ephemeral riparian feature – Wise Creek, which lacked flow at the time of classification, but is classified as an S4. The FPPR requires a 30 m RMZ for S4 stream features.

SOIL DISTURBANCE							
TU	Hazard Ratings			Maximum Allowable Soil Disturbance (%)	Sensitive Soils (Y/N)	Texture	Coarse Fragments (%)
	Soil Compaction	Soil Displacement	Soil Erosion				
A	H	M	M	10	N	SiL	40
B	H	M	M	10	N	SiL	40
C	H	M	M	10	N	SiL	40
D	H	M	M	10	N	SiL	40

Soil Conservation Comments: See Operational Specifications—Soil Conservation

WINDTHROW		
Treatment Unit	Hazard Rating	Comment
A	Moderate	All units: Overall rating is low. Soil, stand and biophysical hazard rated as moderate and topographic and treatment risk rated as low.
B	Moderate	
C	Moderate	
D	Moderate	


SEASONAL CONSTRAINTS AND SLOPE STABILITY		
All season treatment is appropriate (low potential for Archaeological Resources and suitable soil conditions)	Yes ☐	No ☒
The treatment is to occur only when soil is dry or frozen, or when adequate snow pack exists to ensure minimal soil disturbance to sensitive soils.	Yes ☒	No ☐
The prescribed treatment area has been reviewed by the Archaeology Branch of the Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, and does not overlap with areas of archaeological potential. No overlap; however, there are registered archaeologist sites as well as high priority AOA adjacent to Borland Creek and adjacent to the proposed treatment area.	Yes ☒	No ☐
The prescribed treatment area has been field assessed by an archaeologist and no further archaeological work is prescribed.	Yes ☐	No ☒ N/A ☐
The treatment is unlikely to affect subsurface archaeological resources. Measures are prescribed to prevent damage to aboveground archaeological resources such as rock paintings and culturally modified trees.	Yes ☐	No ☐ N/A ☒
Primary forest activities under this fuel management proposal will not materially reduce slope stability on the area or on adjacent areas.	Yes ☒	No ☐

BOUNDARY, ROAD, LANDING, AND TRAIL	
Treatment boundaries are clearly marked on site and accurately mapped.	Yes ☒ No ☐

The condition of existing trails will be assessed and documented prior to treatment.	Yes ☒	No ☐
Existing roads and landings are in a condition suitable for use without modification.	Yes ☒	No ☐
Access trails will be marked in the field prior to treatment after consultation with the contractor.	Yes ☒	No ☐
Temporary access trails will be rehabilitated.	Yes ☒	No ☐

FOREST HEALTH FACTORS			
AGENT NAME	SPECIES AFFECTED	INCIDENCE	MORTALITY
<i>Mountain Pine Beetle (IBM)</i>	PI	Low-Moderate	High
<i>Douglas-fir Beetle (IBD)</i>	Fd	Low	High - localized
IBM damage noted was seen in the form of grey attack and had low spread throughout TU A, low to moderate spread throughout TU B and C, and nil throughout TU D. IBD damage was generally low and localized primarily in the vicinity of Borland Creek, with high mortality in encountered hotspots. In IBD hotspots, Fd exhibited red attack damage.			



OUTSTANDING WORKS
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) A response was received from the Williams Lake Indian Band Community regarding a provision for work at later phases of this prescription, including environmental, operational, and/or archaeological. A FLNRORD representative will be resolving this request. 2) A continuous area north and south of Borland Creek and an area surrounding Redeau Lake are located within an area of high archaeological potential. This information was shared with the Williams Lake Band and Murray Speed (FLNRORD); however, no response from the Williams Lake Band was received in regards to how to address this identified issue. Local knowledge of the affected First Nations indicates the possibility for archeological resources as well as traditional use sites (TUS) to exist in all TUs. Prior to implementation, the Contract Supervisor will consult with the Williams Lake Band on this topic and determine whether the Band wishes to proceed with an archaeological impact assessment (AIA) or other assessments before implementation. 3) UWR GAR Order exemptions must be obtained for TU's B, C and D prior to commencement of operations. 4) The appropriate cutting authority must be obtained prior to commencement of operations. 5) Prior to commencement of operations, the Contractor and Contract Supervisor and a FLNRORD representative will collectively decide the locations for temporary access trails. These temporary access trails will be mapped and flagged before treatment commences. During this phase of work, the group will also decide on appropriate trailside screening. 6) Wise Creek must be RMZ ribboned as indicated on the map (Appendix E) prior to commencement of operations. 7) In consultation with the Contract Supervisor and the FLNRORD representative, an RPBio will be chosen and employed to conduct breeding bird surveys if treatment activities occur during the breeding bird season (April 11 – Aug 23). The Contract Supervisor, FLNRORD representative and the RPBio will list the target bird species for nesting bird surveys and will collaborate together on no work zones when bird nests are found. 8) A public open house describing the FMP and implementation workplan should be hosted by the Contract Supervisor. In addition, at least two weeks prior to implementation, signs describing the treatment must be posted at affected trail heads, and all residents or property owners directly adjacent to the treatment units must be notified of the treatment. Notification will include a contact name and phone number. 9) Decision on whether or not to include a 1 ha 'control' area ribboned within one of the TU's.

RPF PRINTED NAME		Registered Professional Foresters Signature and Seal
Bruce A. Blackwell	2073	
DATE SIGNED		
January 31, 2018		
<p>I certify that the work described herein fulfills the standards expected of a member of the Association of British Columbia Forest Professionals and that I did personally supervise the work.</p>		

A. Stand and Stock Tables

TU A



Pre-treatment stand condition (species composition by density and by volume):



Removal specifications, by stems per hectare in each size class to achieve approximately 575 sph (+/-50 sph) target density:



Stand Table		Species								
Stand Layer	DBH Class Midpoint	Fd	Sx	Dead	Pl	At	Ep	Cutting Specs	Cut	Leave
L4	0	133	233					62%	227	139
L3	5	667	733	100				93%	1395	105
L2	10	233	67					70%	210	90
L1s	15		33					0%	0	33
L1	20	0	95	0	0	0		40%	38	57
	25	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	30	0	47	0	0	0		1%	0	46
	40	0	55	0	0	0		12%	7	48
	50	54	0	0	0	0		0%	0	54
	60	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	70	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	80	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	90	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
Totals									1878	573

Removals by basal area >12.5 cm dbh and volume:



TU B



Pre-treatment stand condition (species composition by density and by volume):



Removal specifications by stems per hectare in each size class, to achieve approximately 625 sph (+/-50sph) target density:



Stand Table										
Stand Layer	DBH Class Midpoint	Species						Cutting Specs	Cut	Leave
		Fd	Sx	Dead	PI	At	Ep			
L4	0	260		60				61%	195	125
L3	5	780		120				86%	775	125
L2	10	300		20				69%	220	100
L1s	15	220		40				85%	220	40
L1	20	253	51	69	0	0		89%	333	40
	25	127	0	0	0	0		69%	87	40
	30	97	0	0	0	0		59%	57	40
	40	71	0	0	0	0		15%	11	60
	50	46	0	0	0	0		0%	0	46
	60	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	70	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	80	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	90	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
		Totals							1899	616

Removals, in basal area >12.5 cm dbh and volume:



TU C



Pre-treatment stand condition (species composition by density and by volume):



Removal specifications by stems per hectare in each size class, to achieve 375 sph (+/-50sph) target density:



Stand Table		Species						Cutting Specs	Cut	Leave
Stand Layer	DBH Class Midpoint	Fd	Sx	Dead	PI	At	Ep			
L4	0	775	88	38				96%	867	33
L3	5	550	163	150				96%	830	33
L2	10	75	38	50				80%	130	33
L1s	15	163		25				79%	147	40
L1	20	242	0	0	50	0		86%	251	40
	25	185	0	55	0	0		81%	195	45
	30	92	33	68	0	0		74%	143	50
	40	74	0	0	0	0		0%	0	74
	50	32	0	0	0	0		0%	0	32
	60	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	70	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	80	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	90	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
Totals									2563	380

Removals, by basal area >12.5 cm dbh and by volume:



TU D



Pre-treatment stand condition (species composition by density and by volume):



Removal specifications by stems per hectare in each size class, to achieve 600 sph (+/-50sph) target density:

Stand Table		Species						Cutting Specs	Cut	Leave
Stand Layer	DBH Class Midpoint (cm)	Fd	Sx	Dead	Pl	At	Ep			
L4	0	650	50	25	50			85%	616	109
L3	5	1850		225	50			96%	2040	85
L2	10	200	25					67%	151	74
L1s	15	75						0%	0	75
L1	20	190	0	0	0	0		73%	139	51
	25	41	0	0	0	0		0%	0	41
	30	103	0	0	0	0		42%	43	59
	40	128	0	0	0	0		61%	78	50
	50	81	0	0	0	0		45%	36	44
	60	16	0	0	0	0		0%	0	16
	70	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	80	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	90	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0
		Totals	3103	606						

Removals by basal area >12.5 cm dbh, and by volume:



B. Stand Photographs:

TU A:



Figure 1. View east from Plot 101



Figure 2. View south from Plot 101

TU B:



Figure 3. View south from Plot 107



Figure 4. View south from Plot 109

TU C:



Figure 5. View west from Plot 104.




Figure 6. View west from Plot 105. Photo demonstrates ladder fuels and small diameter removals.

TU D:



Figure 7. View north from Plot 35.



Figure 8. View west from Plot 37.

C. Clumpy Distribution Diagrams




Figure 9. Example of clumpy distribution.




Figure 10. Example of clumpy distribution (aerial view of true hectare).

D. Species At-Risk

Bobolink (Blue listed) – Known Occurrence

Dolichonyx oryxivorus –breeding habitat includes tall grass areas, flooded meadows, prairie, deep cultivated grains, and hayfields. Nests are usually found on the ground in small hollows in areas of concealing herbaceous vegetation. Individuals tend to return to breed in the same area in successive years, especially if sites have good bobolink productivity.



General Best Management Practices:

- Avoid undue disturbance to soils and subsurface hydrology and moisture regimes. See Operational Specifications – Soil Disturbance
- Machines shall traffic designated trails/routes only.

Canopy closure shall remain relatively uniform near areas of wet soils. Avoid drastic alterations to canopy closure in or adjacent to RRZ's (i.e., avoid the creation of openings directly adjacent to marked RRZ areas).

Western Painted Turtle (Blue listed) - Known Occurrence

Although no treatment will occur within aquatic habitat and no riparian/stream crossing are proposed within this prescription; the treatment activities, in particular mechanized activities could impact resident Western Painted Turtles. A comprehensive management plan for the Western Painted Turtle⁶ states that one of the main threats to this species is road mortality. These turtles are mobile and females leave their aquatic habitat in May-July and may travel overland as far as 200 m to nest. Emergence of eggs depends on the summer's warmth; however, hatchlings appear in late summer and early fall.



General Best Management Practices:

- Timing of implementation: Operations, particularly those that are mechanized, should avoid treating areas within 200 m of aquatic features within the months of May – October, if possible,
- Road mortality: Machine and vehicle operators should pay particular attention and avoid crushing or injuring the Western Painted Turtle along the existing access routes between the months of May and October.

⁶ <https://www.registrelep-sararegistry.gc.ca/default.asp?lang=En&n=17A03DCC-1&printfullpage=true>

Great Blue Heron (Blue listed)⁷ - Known Occurrence

A known Great Blue Heron colony is located a few hundred meters from the treatment area boundary. It is unknown at this time whether it is occupied or not. Although it is unlikely that fuel treatment activities will impact this colony, there is the possibility that the colony has moved into the treatment area. The chosen bird biologist will be informed of the relative location of the known colony and pay close attention for Great Blue Heron nesting or activity within the generalized vicinity and within the treatment area boundary. Regardless of the timing of the activities, operators that see multiple individuals or large nests within a tree will flag the tree 'wildlife tree' and flag a no work zone around the tree. The Contract Supervisor will coordinate with the bird biologist to survey the tree to determine future management of the area.



General Best Management Practices:

See above for specific instructions.

Western Toad (Yellow listed) – Potential Occurrence

Western Toads are protected under the British Columbia *Wildlife Act*. Adult Western Toads migrate to communal wetland breeding sites in early spring⁸. Western Toads are generally active between January and October with breeding and egg deposition occurring between April and July.



General Best Management Practices:

- Timing of implementation: Operations, particularly those that are mechanized, should avoid treating areas within the vicinity of riparian features within the months of May – October, if possible,
- Road mortality: Machine and vehicle operators should pay particular attention and avoid crushing or injuring the Western Painted Turtle along the existing access routes between the months of May and October.

Olive-sided Flycatcher (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

⁷ <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/devwithcare/Fact-Sheet-11-herons.pdf>

⁸ <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/frogwatch/publications/factsheets/frogs/western-toad.htm>

This species appears to prefer cool, mesic or moist conifer forest with tall trees; however, it will occupy younger forest with scattered tall trees or snags⁹. Dead treetops or tall snags are heavily used as foraging locations, much more so than by most other North American flycatchers.



General Best Management Practices:

- The chosen bird biologist for this project will be informed of the potential presence of this bird and the bird biologist will work with the Contract Supervisor and Contractor to adapt operational plans as practicable.

Lewis's Woodpecker (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

This bird is typically found in the Okanagan area of BC; however, there are individuals found outside of this area. They are likely to be found wherever there are mature ponderosa pine forests¹⁰.



General Best Management Practices:

- The chosen bird biologist for this project will be informed of the potential presence of this bird and the bird biologist will work with the Contract Supervisor and Contractor to adapt operational plans as practicable.

⁹ <http://www.birdatlas.bc.ca/accounts/speciesaccount.jsp?sp=OSFL&lang=en>

¹⁰ https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/plants-animals-and-ecosystems/species-ecosystems-at-risk/brochures/lewis_woodpecker.pdf

Flammulated Owl (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

This species occurs in the elevational band characterized by dry Douglas-fir forests along the upload slopes of the major drainages of the southern region of the province¹¹.



General Best Management Practices:

- The chosen bird biologist for this project will be informed of the potential presence of this bird and the bird biologist will work with the Contract Supervisor and Contractor to adapt operational plans as practicable.

Black Swift (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

Although little is known about where these birds nest, there remains the possibility that these birds are using or nesting within the treatment area. These birds spend a significant amount of their time in the air¹².



General Best Management Practices:

- The chosen bird biologist for this project will be informed of the potential presence of this bird and the bird biologist will work with the Contract Supervisor and Contractor to adapt operational plans as practicable.

Spotted Bat (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

¹¹ http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/frpa/iwms/documents/Birds/b_flammulatedowl.pdf

¹² <http://www.birdatlas.bc.ca/accounts/speciesaccount.jsp?sp=BLSW&lang=en>

The Spotted Bat is a large, unusually coloured bat, with a wingspan of about 35 cm. These bats are believed to roost on canyon and vertical cliff walls where they rear their young¹³.



General Best Management Practices:

- This bat has not been sited in the proposed treatment area; however, the Contractor and Contract Supervisor should pay attention for its presence. If a bat is observed, the bat should be photographed and the bat species identified by an RPBio.

Little Brown Myotis (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

This bat species uses a variety of habitat including dry open forests¹⁴ and will roost in tree cavities, snags or under loose bark.



General Best Management Practices:

- This bat has not been sited in the proposed treatment area; however, the Contractor and Contract Supervisor should pay attention for its presence. If a bat is observed, the bat should be photographed and the bat species identified by an RPBio.

¹³ https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/plants-animals-and-ecosystems/species-ecosystems-at-risk/brochures/spotted_bat.pdf

¹⁴ http://a100.gov.bc.ca/appdata/acat/documents/r1535/whr_4162_mmylu_1096575272026_68741ea2adba46dcb522d7a9f909273a.pdf

Northern Myotis (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

This bat will roost in trees during the spring and summer and these trees tend to be close together and within about 600 m of areas suitable for foraging¹⁵.



General Best Management Practices:

- This bat has not been sited in the proposed treatment area; however, the Contractor and Contract Supervisor should pay attention for its presence. If a bat is observed, the bat should be photographed and the bat species identified by an RPBio.

Wolverine (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

Wolverine tend to travel and forage alone and in very remote areas which makes the presence of the wolverine within the proposed treatment area very unlikely¹⁶.



General Best Management Practices:

- The wolverine has not been sited in the proposed treatment area; however, the Contractor and Contract Supervisor should pay attention for its presence. If it is observed, it should be photographed and determined if the wolverine is living within the treatment area. If the wolverine is living within the treatment area and the den is located, considerations should be made to create a no work zone surrounding the den.

Grizzly Bear (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

It is unlikely that a Grizzly Bear will be sited within the proposed treatment area, see General Best Management Practices as they pertain to the prescription, below.

¹⁵ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Northern_long-eared_bat_\(myotis\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Northern_long-eared_bat_(myotis))

¹⁶ <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/fw/wildlife/trapping/docs/wolverine.pdf>



General Best Management Practices:

- The Contractor and Contract Supervisor should pay attention for its presence. If it is observed, it should be photographed and determined if the bear is living within the treatment area. If the bear is living within the treatment area and the den is located, considerations should be made to create a no work zone surrounding the den.

Fisher (Blue listed) – Potential Occurrence

The fisher is a forest-dwelling animal and, in the west, is associated primarily with coniferous and mixed forest habitats¹⁷. Riparian areas, including deciduous trees are important habitat and their dens have been located within deciduous trees. It's presence in the proposed fuel break is unlikely.



General Best Management Practices:

- The Contractor and Contract Supervisor should pay attention for its presence. If it is observed, it should be photographed and determined if the fisher is living within the treatment area. If the fisher is living within the treatment area and the den is located, considerations should be made to create a no work zone surrounding the den.

Ecological Communities At-Risk

Alkali Saltgrass herbaceous vegetation/Alkali Saltgrass and the Nuttall's Alkaligrass ecological communities (Red Listed) - Potential Occurrence

The first two potential communities share similar species and ecological characteristics and include:

- Alkali Saltgrass herbaceous vegetation (found in the: IDFdk3/Gs01, IDFdk3/Gs01)¹⁸, and

¹⁷ <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/fw/wildlife/trapping/docs/fisher.pdf>

¹⁸ http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/frpa/iwms/documents/Plant%20Communities/pc_alkalisaltgrass.pdf

- Alkali Saltgrass – Nuttall's Alkaligrass (found in the: IDFdk3, IDFx_m)¹⁹.

The Alkali Saltgrass herbaceous vegetation community is a wetland meadow community which occurs in seasonally flooded areas often associated with saline/alkaline potholes and lakes. Alkali Saltgrass – Nuttall's Alkaligrass generally forms a good ground cover of closely spaced bunchgrass plants in pure stands and is found in alkaline and wet environments dominated by clay soils.



Alkali Saltgrass



Nuttall's Alkaligrass

General Best Management Practices:

- It is unlikely that these ecological communities exist within the proposed treatment areas.
- If this ecological community is identified, it will be excluded from the prescription area entirely.
- No temporary access or treatment activities will occur within the ecological community area.

Douglas-fir – common juniper – clad lichen ecological community (Red Listed) - Potential Occurrence

The Douglas-fir -common juniper-clad lichen community is geographically restricted to the IDFx_m³²⁰ and is characterized by a dry open canopy forest dominated by lodgepole pine and Douglas-fir. The few remaining occurrences of this ecological community are not located within the proposed treatment area.


¹⁹ <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hra/ecology/types/NutallsSaltGrass.pdf>

²⁰ http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/frpa/iwms/documents/Plant%20Communities/pc_douglasfirjunipercladonia.pdf


General Best Management Practices:

- It is unlikely that this ecological community exists within the proposed treatment areas.
- If this ecological community is identified, it will be excluded from the prescription area entirely.
- No temporary access or treatment activities will occur within the ecological community area.

E. Maps



Map 1. Overview map of WUI treatment units in the Borland Valley.



**Fuel Management
Prescription Map
Wildland-Urban Interface
Treatment Units
Map 1 of 3**

Regional District: CRD
Location: 150 Mile House
25 January 2018

Legend


- Falling Corner
- ▲ Station
- Powerlines Overhead
- Fences
- Streams
- Lakes
- ▨ Wetlands
- TCC Roads
- Roads
- Ownership**
- Private
- Topography**
- Strong North-Facing
- Strong South-Facing



1:4,000

Coordinate system: NAD 1983 UTM
Zone 10N

Map 2. WUI TU-A hillshade map.



**Fuel Management
Prescription Map
Wildland-Urban Interface
Treatment Units
Map 2 of 3**

Regional District: CRD
Location: 150 Mile House
25 January 2018

Legend


- Falling Corner
- ▲ Station
- Powerlines Overhead
- Fences
- Streams
- Lakes
- Wetlands
- TCC Roads
- Roads
- Ownership**
- Private
- Topography**
- Strong North-Facing
- Strong South-Facing



1:11,000

Coordinate system: NAD 1983 UTM
Zone 10N

Map 3. WUI TU's B & C hillshade map.



**Fuel Management
Prescription Map
Wildland-Urban Interface
Treatment Units
Map 3 of 3**

Regional District: CRD
Location: 150 Mile House
25 January 2018

Legend

- Falling Corner
- ▲ Station
- Powerlines Overhead
- Fences
- Streams
- TCC Roads
- Roads
- ▨ Wetlands
- Lakes
- Ownership**
- Private
- Topography**
- Strong North-Facing
- Strong South-Facing



1:6,500



Coordinate system: NAD 1983 UTM
Zone 10N

Map 4. WUI TU-D hillshade map.

Appendix F: Additional Cutting Specifications and Basal Area Targets: Borland Valley WUI Treatments



WUI TU-A: Post treatment BA target >12.5cm dbh: 22 m²/ha

BDQ Manager										
B:	22	< <div></div> >	Min BA for B							
D:	60	< <div></div> >	12.5	▲						
q:	1.25	< <div></div> >		▼						
DBH Class	BDq Curve				Existing stand		Treatment Removal		Post Treatment	
	qsph	BA	q planned sph	q planned BA	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha
0	7.5	0.00	143		367	0.0	227	0.0	140	0.0
5	6.0	0.01	114	0.22	1500	2.9	1395	2.7	105	0.2
10	4.8	0.04	92	0.72	300	2.4	210	1.6	90	0.7
15	3.8	0.07	73	1.29	33	0.6	0	0.0	33	0.6
20	3.1	0.10	59	1.84	95	3.0	38	1.2	57	1.8
25	2.4	0.12	47	2.30	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
30	2.0	0.14	38	2.65	47	3.3	0	0.0	47	3.3
40	1.6	0.20	30	3.77	55	6.9	7	0.9	48	6.0
50	1.3	0.25	24	4.71	54	10.6	0	0.0	54	10.6
60	1.0	0.28	19	5.43	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
70	0.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
80	0.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total		1.19	639	22.94	2451	29.7	1877	6.5	574	23.2
>37.5		0.7	73.2	13.9	109.0	17.5	7.0	0.9	102.0	16.6
>17.5		1.1	216.2	20.7	251.0	23.8	45.0	2.1	206.0	21.7
>12.5		1.1	289.4	22.0	284.0	24.4	45.0	2.1	239.0	22.3
>minBA		1.1	289.4	22.0	284.0	24.4	45.0	2.1	239.0	22.3





WUI TU-B: Post treatment BA target >12.5cm dbh: 23 m²/ha

BDQ Manager										
B: 22		< <div></div> >	Min BA for B							
D: 60		< <div></div> >	12.5							
q: 1.25		< <div></div> >								
DBH Class	BDq Curve				Existing stand		Treatment Removal		Post Treatment	
	qsph	BA	q planned sph	q planned BA	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha
0	7.5	0.00	143		320	0.0	195	0.0	125	0.0
5	6.0	0.01	114	0.22	900	1.8	775	1.5	125	0.2
10	4.8	0.04	92	0.72	320	2.5	220	1.7	100	0.8
15	3.8	0.07	73	1.29	260	4.6	220	3.9	40	0.7
20	3.1	0.10	59	1.84	373	11.7	333	10.5	40	1.3
25	2.4	0.12	47	2.30	127	6.2	87	4.3	40	2.0
30	2.0	0.14	38	2.65	97	6.9	57	4.0	40	2.8
40	1.6	0.20	30	3.77	71	8.9	11	1.4	60	7.5
50	1.3	0.25	24	4.71	46	9.0	0	0.0	46	9.0
60	1.0	0.28	19	5.43	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
70	0.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
80	0.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total		1.19	639	22.94	2514	51.6	1898	27.3	616	24.4
>37.5		0.7	73.2	13.9	117.0	18.0	11.0	1.4	106.0	16.6
>17.5		1.1	216.2	20.7	714.0	42.8	488.0	20.1	226.0	22.6
>12.5		1.1	289.4	22.0	974.0	47.4	708.0	24.0	266.0	23.3
>minBA		1.1	289.4	22.0	974.0	47.4	708.0	24.0	266.0	23.3



WUI TU-C: Post treatment BA target >12.5cm dbh: 23 m²/ha

BDQ Manager										
B: 22	< <div></div> >	Min BA for B								
D: 60	< <div></div> >	12.5	▲							
q: 1.25	< <div></div> >		▼							
DBH Class	BDq Curve				Existing stand		Treatment Removal		Post Treatment	
	qsph	BA	q planned sph	q planned BA	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha
0	7.5	0.00	143		900	0.0	867	0.0	33	0.0
5	6.0	0.01	114	0.22	863	1.7	830	1.6	33	0.1
10	4.8	0.04	92	0.72	163	1.3	130	1.0	33	0.3
15	3.8	0.07	73	1.29	188	3.3	147	2.6	41	0.7
20	3.1	0.10	59	1.84	291	9.1	251	7.9	40	1.3
25	2.4	0.12	47	2.30	240	11.8	195	9.6	45	2.2
30	2.0	0.14	38	2.65	194	13.7	143	10.1	51	3.6
40	1.6	0.20	30	3.77	74	9.3	0	0.0	74	9.3
50	1.3	0.25	24	4.71	32	6.3	0	0.0	32	6.3
60	1.0	0.28	19	5.43	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
70	0.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
80	0.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total		1.19	639	22.94	2945	56.5	2563	32.8	382	23.7
>37.5		0.7	73.2	13.9	106.0	15.6	0.0	0.0	106.0	15.6
>17.5		1.1	216.2	20.7	831.0	50.2	589.0	27.6	242.0	22.7
>12.5		1.1	289.4	22.0	1019.0	53.5	736.0	30.2	283.0	23.4
>minBA		1.1	289.4	22.0	1019.0	53.5	736.0	30.2	283.0	23.4



WUI TU-D: Post treatment BA target >12.5cm dbh: 29 m²/ha

BDQ Manager

B: 29

D: 70

q: 1.2

<

>

Min BA for B

12.5

▲

▼

DBH Class	BDq Curve				Existing stand		Treatment Removal		Post Treatment	
	qsph	BA	q planned sph	q planned BA	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha	sph	Ba/ha
0	6.2	0.00	109		725	0.0	616	0.0	109	0.0
5	5.2	0.01	91	0.18	2125	4.2	2040	4.0	85	0.2
10	4.3	0.03	76	0.59	225	1.8	151	1.2	74	0.6
15	3.6	0.06	63	1.11	75	1.3	0	0.0	75	1.3
20	3.0	0.09	52	1.65	190	6.0	136	4.3	54	1.7
25	2.5	0.12	44	2.15	41	2.0	0	0.0	41	2.0
30	2.1	0.15	36	2.58	103	7.3	42	3.0	61	4.3
40	1.7	0.22	30	3.82	128	16.1	78	9.8	50	6.3
50	1.4	0.28	25	4.97	81	15.9	36	7.1	45	8.8
60	1.2	0.34	21	5.96	16	4.5	0	0.0	16	4.5
70	1.0	0.38	18	6.76	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
80	0.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total		1.69	565	29.77	3709	59.0	3099	29.3	610	29.7
>37.5		1.2	94.4	21.5	225.0	36.5	114.0	16.9	111.0	19.6
>17.5		1.6	227.0	27.9	559.0	51.8	292.0	24.1	267.0	27.7
>12.5		1.6	290.0	29.0	634.0	53.1	292.0	24.1	342.0	29.0
>minBA		1.6	290.0	29.0	634.0	53.1	292.0	24.1	342.0	29.0

