

Table 1: Summary Results of Archaeological Field Reviews							
				*AIA/PFR/FNW Done	AIA/PFR/FNWs resulted in archaeological findings		
AIA/PFR/FNWs* completed in areas of moderate/high Arch potential (i.e.; field reviews prescribed by AOA):				51	7		
FN Requested FNW in areas of Low Arch potential (AIA/PFR sometimes done under licensee discretion):				71	0		
Field Review done but Not required by AOA or requested by FN				4	0		
Total Sample Size (Number field reviews Done **):				126	7		
FNWs requested by LKTS in areas of Low Arch potential:				63	0		
FNWs requested by LKTS in areas of Mod/High Arch potential:				32	2		
FNWs requested by K'omoks in areas of Low Arch potential:				8	0		
FNWs requested by K'omoks in areas of Mod/High Arch potential:				4	1		
FNWs requested by Kwiakah in areas of Low Arch potential:				1	0		
FNWs requested by Tlowitsis in areas of Low Arch potential:				1	0		
Total FN requested				109			
* AIA & PFR-involves professional archaeologist; FNW= FN Walkthrough (FN members only).							
** The sample includes data from selected forest licensees from 2007 to 2010 however it is not an exhaustive list.							
Table 2: AOA Effectiveness (Statistical Significance untested)							
AOA		# Blocks Mod/High	AIA/PFR resulted in archaeological findings		Effectiveness		
ARCAS AOA		29	4		14%		
MILLENNIA AOA		22	3		14%		
Not required by AOA or requested by FN		4	0				
Total AIA/PFRs done:		55	7		13%		